Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/721,550	REICH, NORBERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BJ Forman	1634	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)		
(2) Claude Nassif.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 July 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant Applicant Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
•			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 41-48 54 61 63-65 (as numbered in Amendments of 12 March	2004)		
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
·			
L /			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	
, , ,	•	- ' ' '	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner contacted Mr. Nassif to discuss amendments to the claims because upon review of the allowed claims it was determined, by the examiner, that the specification does not provide support for Claims 41-48, 54, 61 and 63-65. The examiner explained that the reiterative microarray embodiment recited in the above claims is not supported by the methods described in the specification. It was also noted that numerous claims within the claim set filed 12 March 2004 are mis-numbered. The above numbers represent numbering of claims in the amendment of 12 March 2004.

Mr. Nassif disagreed with the examiner's conclusion, but agreed to cancel the claims thereby allowing the remaining claims to proceed to issue. Mr. Nassif stated that the claims are canceled without prejudice and Applicant intends to continue prosecution of the claims in a continuation application. The examiner acknowledges Applicant's disagreement and Applicant's intention to further prosecute the canceled claims in a continuation application.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER